


NATIONAL OCEANOGRAPHIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION  
US DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# A Bibliometric Analysis of Articles Supported by NOAA's Office of Ocean Exploration and Research

---

By Sarah Davis, Jamie Roberts, and Hope Shinn  
NOAA Central Library  
April 2020



## ABOUT THIS REPORT

This report presents a summary-level bibliometric analysis of the known peer-reviewed journal articles produced as a result of ocean exploration missions supported by NOAA’s Office of Ocean Exploration and Research (OER). This report was produced using data retrieved from the Web of Science, Science Citation Index Expanded and Social Science Index database on 14 April 2020, covering articles published from 2002 thru 2020. 62 articles known to have resulted from OER-supported explorations had to be omitted from this analysis, either because the articles are still in press or because Web of Science does not index the journals in which the articles were published. 15 of these omitted articles were produced with support from OER’s underwater archaeology program.

The bibliometric indicators presented in this report are based on citations from the select group of peer-reviewed journal articles indexed by Web of Science and, as such, do not reflect citations to OER-supported expeditions from peer-reviewed journals not indexed by Web of Science (WoS) or from other sources such as book chapters, conference proceedings, or technical reports.

More information about the methodology used and a full listing of all of the articles evaluated in this report are available upon request to Sarah.Davis@noaa.gov.

## CONTENTS

SUMMARY METRICS .....	3
PUBLICATION ANALYSIS .....	3
CITATION COUNT ANALYSIS.....	7
CITING ARTICLE ANALYSIS .....	8
INTERNATIONAL PUBLICATION .....	10
BIBLIOMETRIC MAPPING.....	10
Co-Authorship Network .....	11
Word Co-Occurrence Network.....	12
CITATION PERFORMANCE EVALUATION .....	13
RECENT HIGHLY CITED ARTICLES.....	14
2017 .....	14
2016 .....	14


## SUMMARY METRICS

Bibliometric Indicator	Value
Number of Publications (p)	902
Total Number of Citations Received (c)	25,864
Average Number of Citations per Paper (c/p)	28.67
H- Index	75
Percentage of Publications in the Top 10% for Citation Counts	≈15.6%


**Table 1:** Common bibliometric indicators calculated for publications supported by OER. An H-Index of 75 indicates that this group of 902 publications includes 75 articles that have each received 75 or more citations. For more details on the H-Index, see Hirsch (2005). For more details about the Percentage of Publications in the Top 10% for Citation Counts, see page 13.

## PUBLICATION ANALYSIS


The following figures analyze the number of publications produced as a result of OER-supported expeditions. For clarity, the figures showing the number of publications per subject, author, journal, institution, and funding agency only list the top 10 results in each category.




**Figure 1:** Non-cumulative number of OER-supported peer-reviewed articles produced per year.




**Figure 2:** Number of OER-supported peer-reviewed articles assigned to subject categories by WoS based on the journal in which the article appeared. These subject categories are not mutually exclusive.




**Figure 3:** Number of OER-supported peer-reviewed articles produced per author.



**Figure 4:** Number of OER-supported peer-reviewed articles per journal. Journal special issues dedicated to OER-supported explorations include: Deep-Sea Research Part II 57(1-2), 57(21-23), and 57(24-26); Journal of Geophysical Research – Solid Earth 113 (B8); Oceanography 20(4), 25(S1), and 26(S1); and Polar Biology 28(3).




**Figure 5:** Number of OER-supported peer-reviewed articles per institution. Articles are counted for an institution if at least one of the article's authors lists that institution as his/her affiliation. Calculated using the Web of Science Organizations-Enhanced feature.




**Figure 6:** Number of publications co-funded by OER and other agencies and foundations. Data for this figure were derived from an analysis of the 'Acknowledgements' texts of 627 articles (70% of the 902 articles analyzed in this report) that were published from 2008 to the present for which this information is available.

### CITATION COUNT ANALYSIS




**Figure 7:** Distribution curve showing the citation counts of the 80 most highly cited publications supported by OER. The straight line indicates the H-Index threshold (slope:  $y = x$ ). The intersect point of the two curves ( $x = 75$ ) is the H-Index of OER articles.



**Figure 8:** Non-cumulative number of citations received by all 902 OER-supported articles per year.


## CITING ARTICLE ANALYSIS

The following tables analyze the 15,595 publications that have cited OER-supported articles in an attempt to indicate how these articles are used by the research community. These tables include self-citations (OER articles citing other OER articles). For brevity, each table only includes the top 10 results in each category.




**Figure 9:** Number of publications per WoS-defined subject category for all publications citing OER-supported articles. These subject categories are not mutually exclusive.






**Figure 10:** Number of publications per journal for all publications citing OER-supported articles.



**Figure 11:** Number of publications per institution for all publications citing OER-supported articles. Publications are counted for an institution if at least one of the publication's authors lists that institution as their affiliation.

## INTERNATIONAL PUBLICATION




**Figure 12:** Map depicting the international publication of OER-supported articles. Countries are colored based on the number of OER-supported articles with at least one author from each country.

## BIBLIOMETRIC MAPPING

Bibliometric maps attempt to create visual representations of the structure of scientific research by analyzing networks (Borner and others 2007) of scientific publications. Depending on the level of analysis, bibliometric maps attempt to show the relationships between different lines of research on a single topic, between sub-disciplines within a field, and between major disciplines. Such maps can be constructed depicting co-authorship networks (Newman 2001), article citation networks (Boyack and Klavans 2010), or article keyword networks (Mane and Borner 2004). For an extensive survey of the field, see Borner and others (2003).

The following maps depict co-authorship, and word co-occurrence networks derived from OER-supported journal articles indexed in Web of Science. These maps were generated using the Science of Science Tool (Sci2 Team 2009). Higher resolution images of these maps are available upon request.

## Co-Authorship Network




**Figure 13:** Bibliometric map of the largest connected co-authorship network of authors of OER-supported research. Author names were manually standardized to eliminate misspellings and name variants (e.g. Cordes E and Cordes Ee) were merged prior to creating this network. In this map, name size indicates the number of OER-supported publications by that author; values range from 1 to 38 publications. Name colors indicate communities of authors who tend to write articles together as identified by the community detection algorithm of Blondel and others (2008). Line size and darkness indicate the number of co-authored works between the connected authors; values range from 3 to 20. This map depicts 1368 co-author relationships between 218 authors of OER-supported articles. For clarity, lines with a weight of less than 2 were removed and only the largest connected component of the network is shown.



## CITATION PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Bibliometric researchers have recently agreed that paper citation counts ought to be evaluated using percentiles rather than averages. In this method, a paper is assigned a percentile rank (top 1%, top 10%, etc.) based on how its citation count compares to that of all other papers in a given set. Sets of papers, such as those by an author or by a research group, are evaluated by calculating the percentage of those papers that have citation counts that rank in a certain percentile (or set of percentiles) when compared to a similar set of papers. In practice, researchers have tended to focus on the percentage of papers in a set with citation counts ranking in the top 10% of all papers in the same database that were published in the same year and subject category. For more information about this approach, see (Bornmann and others 2012; Leydesdorff and others 2011; National Science Board 2012; Waltman and others 2012).



**Figure 15:** Bubble chart showing the percentage of OER-supported publications in ten subject categories that had citation counts ranking in the top 10% of all publications in WoS that were published in the same categories during the same years (2002-2017). Bubble size indicates the percentage of OER-supported publications in each subject area that had citation counts in the top 10% of all publications in that subject area and year of publication. The ten subject categories shown here are those in which OER-supported explorations were most often published (from Figure 2). Approximately 86% of the articles published during 2002-2017 that are analyzed in this report are included in one or more of these ten subject categories. The ‘Multidisciplinary Sciences’ subject category, which includes publications in Nature and Science, was omitted from this analysis because these articles could not be analyzed according to the same standards as the other subject categories.

## RECENT HIGHLY CITED ARTICLES

The following lists highlight recently published OER-supported articles that have received enough citations for them to rank in the top 10% for citation counts out of all publications in WoS in their respective subject categories. Because articles typically require at least 2-3 years to accumulate enough citations for article-level bibliometric indicators to be reliable (Abramo and others 2012; Costas and others 2011), only articles published in 2017 or 2016 are listed.

### 2017

- Divine, L. M., Bluhm, B. A., Mueter, F. J., & Iken, K. (2017). Diet analysis of Alaska Arctic snow crabs (*Chionoecetes opilio*) using stomach contents and delta C-13 and delta N-15 stable isotopes. *Deep-Sea Research Part II-Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 135, 124-136. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2015.11.009
- Dohrmann, M., Kelley, C., Kelly, M., Pisera, A., Hooper, J. N. A., & Reiswig, H. M. (2017). An integrative systematic framework helps to reconstruct skeletal evolution of glass sponges (Porifera, Hexactinellida). *Frontiers in Zoology*, 14, 31. doi:10.1186/s12983-017-0191-3
- Haver, S. M., Klinck, H., Nieukirk, S. L., Matsumoto, H., Dziak, R. P., & Miksis-Olds, J. L. (2017). The not-so-silent world: Measuring Arctic, Equatorial, and Antarctic soundscapes in the Atlantic Ocean. *Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers*, 122, 95-104. doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2017.03.002
- Pinchuk, A. I., & Eisner, L. B. (2017). Spatial heterogeneity in zooplankton summer distribution in the eastern Chukchi Sea in 2012-2013 as a result of large-scale interactions of water masses. *Deep-Sea Research Part II-Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 135, 27-39. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2016.11.003
- Quattrini, A. M., Demopoulos, A. W. J., Singer, R., Roa-Varon, A., & Chaytor, J. D. (2017). Demersal fish assemblages on seamounts and other rugged features in the northeastern Caribbean. *Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers*, 123, 90-104. doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2017.03.009
- Schnur, S. R., Chadwick, W. W., Embley, R. W., Ferrini, V. L., de Ronde, C. E. J., Cashman, K. V., . . . Matsumoto, H. (2017). A decade of volcanic construction and destruction at the summit of NW Rota-1 seamount: 2004-2014. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth*, 122(3), 1558-1584. doi:10.1002/2016jb013742

### 2016

- Bucklin, A., Lindeque, P. K., Rodriguez-Ezpeleta, N., Albaina, A., & Lehtiniemi, M. (2016). Metabarcoding of marine zooplankton: prospects, progress and pitfalls. *Journal of Plankton Research*, 38(3), 393-400. doi:10.1093/plankt/fbw023
- Chian, D., Jackson, H. R., Hutchinson, D. R., Shimeld, J. W., Oakey, G. N., Lebedeva-Ivanova, N., . . . Mosher, D. C. (2016). Distribution of crustal types in Canada Basin, Arctic Ocean. *Tectonophysics*, 691, 8-30. doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2016.01.038
- DeLeo, D. M., Ruiz-Ramos, D. V., Baums, I. B., & Cordes, E. E. (2016). Response of deep-water corals to oil and chemical dispersant exposure. *Deep-Sea Research Part II-Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 129, 137-147. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2015.02.028

- Pyle, R. L., & Kosaki, R. K. (2016). *Prognathodes basabei*, a new species of butterflyfish (Perciformes, Chaetodontidae) from the Hawaiian Archipelago. *Zookeys*(614), 137-152. doi:10.3897/zookeys.614.10200
- Serreze, M. C., Crawford, A. D., Stroeve, J. C., Barrett, A. P., & Woodgate, R. A. (2016). Variability, trends, and predictability of seasonal sea ice retreat and advance in the Chukchi Sea. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 121(10), 7308-7325. doi:10.1002/2016jc011977
- Spalding, H. L., Conklin, K. Y., Smith, C. M., O'Kelly, C. J., & Sherwood, A. R. (2016). New Ulvaceae (Ulvophyceae, Chlorophyta) from mesophotic ecosystems across the Hawaiian Archipelago. *Journal of Phycology*, 52(1), 40-53. doi:10.1111/jpy.12375
- Wang, B. B., Socolofsky, S. A., Breier, J. A., & Seewald, J. S. (2016). Observations of bubbles in natural seep flares at MC 118 and GC 600 using in situ quantitative imaging. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 121(4), 2203-2230. doi:10.1002/2015jc011452